

SHORT COMMUNICATION

COMMENT ON 'MODIFICATION OF THE SMAC METHOD IN TWO DIMENSIONS'¹

S. ABDALLAH

The Pennsylvania State University, Applied Research Laboratory, P.O. Box 30, State College, PA 16801, U.S.A.

KEY WORDS Incompressible Fluid Flows Cavity

The author of Reference 1 presents equations (1)–(5) to replace the SMAC method for the solution of Navier–Stokes equations in two dimensions. The purpose of this comment is to show that the analysis of Reference 1 is *exactly* the ω – ψ formulation without any modification.

Let us start with the ω – ψ method. The continuity equation is identically satisfied using the following relations.

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \\ v &= -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \end{aligned} \quad (C1)$$

The vorticity definition $\omega = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$, becomes

$$\nabla^2 \psi = -\omega \quad (C2)$$

where the vorticity ω is calculated from the momentum equations after eliminating the pressure using cross differentiation, thus:

$$\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial y} = \nu \left(\frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \omega}{\partial y^2} \right) \quad (C3)$$

Equations (C1) and (C2) are used in Reference 1 as equations (5) and (4)*, respectively. Then the vorticity ω is calculated from equations (1)–(3) instead of Equation (C3) as in the ω – ψ method. We show in the following section that Equations (1)–(3) in Reference 1 are *exactly* Equation (C3).

Substituting equations (1) and (2) into equation (3), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{n+1} = & \left(\frac{\partial v^n}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial y} \right) + \Delta t \left[-u^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial v^n}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial y} \right) \right. \\ & - v^n \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial v^n}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial v^n}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{\partial v^n}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial v^n}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial y} \right) \\ & \left. + \nu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial v^n}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial y} \right) + \nu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left(\frac{\partial v^n}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial y} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (C4)$$

* There is a sign difference which is most probably a typing mistake in Reference 1.

Since

$$\omega^n = \frac{\partial v^n}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial y}$$

equation (C4) can be written as follows

$$\omega^{n+1} = \omega^n + \Delta t \left[-u^n \frac{\partial \omega^n}{\partial x} - v^n \frac{\partial \omega^n}{\partial y} + \nu \left(\frac{\partial^2 \omega^n}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \omega^n}{\partial y^2} \right) \right] \quad (\text{C5})$$

which is exactly equation (C3).

Furthermore, the author of Reference 1 argues that his formulation using equations (1)–(3) did not have to approximate boundary conditions for ω . In fact he does just this in the solution of equations (1) and (2). He calculates the terms $\frac{\partial v^n}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u^n}{\partial y} = \omega^n$ at the solid boundaries, which are nothing but the vorticity boundary conditions. This is shown as follows.

In the ω - ψ method, Dirichlet boundary conditions for ω are calculated by applying $\nabla^2 \psi = -\omega$ on the boundary. For example at $x = 0$, $\psi(0, y) = 0$ and

$$\omega(0, y) = -\nabla^2 \psi = -\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \quad (\text{C6})$$

By using Taylor's expansion and satisfying the no-slip condition, $\left(v = 0, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = 0 \right)^2$, equation (C6) can be written as

$$\omega(0, y) = -\frac{2}{h^2} \psi(h, y) + O(h) \quad (\text{C7})$$

where h is the spatial step.

In the modified SMAC method, the terms $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \omega$ are calculated on the boundary and used in the solution of equations (1) and (2). At $x = 0$, $u = 0 \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0 \right)$; upon substitution in $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \omega$, one obtains

$$\omega(0, y) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \quad (\text{C8})$$

Since $v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$, equation (C8) becomes

$$\omega(0, y) = -\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} \quad (\text{C9})$$

Equation (C9) is identical to equation (C6) and leads to the same Dirichlet boundary conditions for ω given by equation (C7).

It is clear that the two methods, ω - ψ and the modified SMAC, solve the same vorticity transport equation (C3) with the same Dirichlet boundary conditions equation (C7). In addition they solve the same Poisson equation (C2) for ψ with the same boundary conditions ($\psi = 0$): thus the numerical results of both methods must be identical.

REFERENCES

1. Włodzimierz Kordylewski, 'Modification of the SMAC method in two dimensions', *Int. j. numer. methods fluids*, **2**, 407–409 (1982).
2. P. J. Roache, *Computational Fluid Dynamics*, Hermosa Publishers, 1976.